Arene C–H activation using Rh(i) catalysts supported by bidentate nitrogen chelates†
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The Rh(i) complexes [\([\text{FlDAB})\text{Rh}(\text{coe})(\text{TFA})\)] (1) and [\([\text{BOZO})\text{Rh}(\text{coe})(\text{TFA})\)] (2) are efficient catalyst precursors for H/D exchange between arenes and D2O. Catalyst precursor 1 exhibits a TOF of 0.06 s\(^{-1}\) at 150 °C for benzene H/D exchange. DFT calculations revealed that H/D exchange through reversible oxidative addition or internal electrophilic substitution of benzene is a viable pathway.

The synthesis of catalysts for the selective and efficient functionalization of C–H bonds remains a challenge.1–9 In their seminal work, Shilov and co-workers found that Pt salts can activate and functionalize the C–H bonds of methanol, resulting in oxidation to methanol and methyl halides when PtIV is used as oxidant.10–12 Numerous studies have sought to understand and improve the Shilov catalyst,13–18 and several electrophilic late transition metal and main group reagents have been developed for light alkane functionalization.19–25 These electrophilic catalysts are often inhibited by Lewis bases and, as a result, typically require superacidic media (e.g., oleum). The use of strong acid (HX) can lead to the formation of RX, and the electron withdrawing group “X” can protect the functionalized product from over-oxidation (e.g., MeO\(\text{SO}_3\text{H}\) formation in \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\)); but, product extraction from strong acids can be problematic.

Less electronegative metal centres should be less susceptible to inhibition by Lewis bases. Thus, moving to the left in the transition metal series provides a strategy to attenuate inhibition of catalysis in weaker acids. Earlier transition metal complexes can activate hydrocarbons.26–33 However, transition metals earlier than group 10 are likely to be more susceptible to oxidation in acidic media, which could place the catalyst in an oxidation state that is incapable of C–H activation (Scheme 1). Thus, a desirable but challenging aspect of developing catalysts using acidic solvents is maintaining efficient C–H activation. Rh catalysts provide a possible alternative to later metal and main group counterparts since C–H activation by Rh(i) complexes has been reported,34–37 but oxidation to Rh(III) can be facile38 and, thus, rapid C–H activation in acidic media is potentially challenging.

A major focus of C–H activation has been on aromatic hydrocarbons. For example, benzene is used to generate styrene and phenol.39–42 Direct oxidation of benzene to phenol and oxidative conversion of benzene and ethylene to styrene are desirable processes.41,42 One method to probe for benzene C–H activation is to study H/D exchange between benzene and a deuterium source. A Rh complex with a PNP pincer ligand catalyses H/D exchange of benzene with D2O with a turnover frequency (TOF) of 2.8 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 100 °C.43,44 The H/D exchange of benzene with deuterated trifluoroacetic acid at 100 °C with a Rh complex has also been reported.32 A Rh(III) precursor leads to catalytic benzene C–H activation with a TOF of 4.7 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\) in acetic acid at 150 °C.27 A (hfac)rhodium complex (hfac = hexafluoroacetylacetonate) catalyses H/D exchange of benzene with a TOF of 2.8 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 190 °C.31 Despite the success of these Rh catalysts for C–H activation of arenes, the reaction rates are slower, typically by an order of magnitude or more, than Pt- or Pd-based catalysts.33,34,45,46 Bidentate nitrogen chelates have been used successfully for H/D exchange with Pt and Pd systems but have not been as thoroughly examined with Rh.33,34

Herein, we report the synthesis and reactivity of two new Rh

![Scheme 1 Metal oxidation in acidic media could lead to high oxidation state complexes (i.e., M\(^{n+2}\)) that are less active for or incapable of C–H activation.](image-url)
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complexes with bidentate nitrogen ligands that exhibit rates of arene H/D exchange with trifluoroacetic acid that are comparable to Pt and Pd catalysts.

The complexes $^{15}\text{DAB}\text{Rh(COE)}(\text{TFA})$ (1) and $\text{(BOZO)Rh(COE)}(\text{TFA})$ (2) $^{15}\text{DAB} = N,N\text{-bis\{-pentfluorophenyl\}-2,3\text{-dimethyl-1,4\text{-diaza-1,3\text{-butadiene, COE = cyclooctene,}}}$

$\text{TFA = trifluoroacetate, BOZO = bis\{2-\text{oxazolin-2-yl\}}\}$ were synthesized by treatment of $[\text{COE}]_2\text{Rh(TFA)}_2$ with two equivalents of ligand in THF at room temperature (Scheme 2). Complexes 1 and 2 are isolated as purple solids in 58% and 73% yield, respectively.

We examined Rh complexes 1 and 2 for H/D exchange between DTFA and benzene (eqn (1)). Under our conditions (1.6 mol% Rh in C$_6$H$_6$ with 17.5 equiv. of [D$_1$] trifluoroacetic acid relative to C$_6$H$_6$, 130 °C), both 1 and 2 gave 82(8) and 91(11) turnovers (TO) of H/D exchange products, respectively, after 2 hours. Using the turnover number (TON) after 2 hours results in calculated turnover frequencies (TOFs) of ~0.01 s$^{-1}$.

Note that the possibility of some catalyst deactivation means that the TOFs (and others herein) are lower limits of catalyst activity. Importantly, minimal TOs were detected for the reaction of $[\text{COE}]_2\text{Rh(TFA)}_2$ in [D$_1$] trifluoroacetic acid under these conditions. Thus, the bis-imine ligands play a role in the enhancement of catalytic H/D exchange.

\[\text{C}\quad \begin{array}{c} \text{D} \\ 130^\circ \text{C, 2 h, DTFA} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{D} \\ \end{array} \]

(1)

Measuring the effect of temperature on catalysis reveals that the highest TONs after 2 hours occur at 150 °C for complex 1. Using complex 1 as a catalyst precursor results in a decrease in TONs at temperatures above 150 °C, which is most likely due to catalyst decomposition (Fig. 1). After 2 hours of reaction, complex 2 exhibits the highest TON at 130 °C. The decrease in TON at higher temperatures for 2 suggests reduced stability compared to 1.

Experiments were performed to probe the H/D exchange as a function of catalyst concentration. By lowering the catalyst loading relative to benzene, an increase in TONs was observed for complex 1 (Fig. 2). After 2 hours at 150 °C, complex 1 shows 456 TONs to give a calculated TOF of 0.06 s$^{-1}$. However, no such increase was observed for complex 2. We suspect that complex 1 may undergo a binuclear decomposition; however, definitive conclusions cannot be drawn without more detailed kinetic analysis.

The selectivity of the reaction was determined by examining the H/D exchange of toluene in trifluoroacetic acid after 5% H/D exchange. The ortho:meta:para selectivity is 6.9:1:6.4 and 5.7:1:5.2 for 1 (150 °C) and 2 (130 °C), respectively (Scheme 3). Deuteration of the methyl fragment of toluene was not observed, which is evidence against a radical mechanism. The selectivity is similar to electrophilic aromatic substitution and leads us to tentatively conclude that Rh is acting as an electrophile in the C–H bond breaking step.

We also explored the recyclability of complex 1. Complex 1, at 0.4 mol% relative to benzene, was dissolved in trifluoroacetic acid and C$_6$D$_6$ in thick-walled (high pressure) glass tubes and
heated to 150 °C in an oil bath. After 24 hours, the reactions were sampled and analyzed by GC-MS, and then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Fresh trifluoroacetic acid and benzene were added to the reaction vessels, and the experiments were repeated. For complex 1, this was successfully done 3 times for a period of over 72 hours with H/D exchange observed each time (Fig. 3).

We probed the influence of cyclooctene on the H/D exchange reaction. The addition of free cyclooctene led to a decrease in turnovers for complex 2. For example, under our conditions (1.6 mol% 2 in C₆H₆ with 17.5 equiv. of [D₅]trifluoroacetic acid relative to C₆H₆, 2 hours, 130 °C), the addition of one equivalent of cyclooctene relative to 2 led to a decrease from 91 TO to 32 TO over two hours. This could be due to cyclooctene binding to the metal centre and either suppressing formation of the active catalyst or inhibiting the coordination of benzene.

Monitoring the reaction of 2 in HTFA by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy revealed that the initially coordinated cyclooctene is converted to the cyclooctyl trifluoroacetate. Indeed, Nordlander et al. reported that cyclooctene in trifluoroacetic acid reacts to form cyclooctyl trifluoroacetate. Thus, additional COE may bind to the metal centre until it is consumed to give cyclooctyl trifluoroacetate and the active catalyst species.

We explored the reaction mechanism by DFT calculations at the M06 level of theory. Our reference complexes were (L)Rh(TFA)[TFAH] (3 and 4 for L = F₁DAB or BOZO, respectively); these being the presumed species after the COE is converted to cyclooctyl trifluoroacetate (eqn (2)).

We hypothesized that C–H activation of benzene could proceed through one of four routes. The first route is by direct oxidative addition to (L)Rh(I)(TFA)[TFAH] to form (L)Rh(III)[TFAH][Ph][H] (Schemes 4 and 5, top pathway). H/D exchange could then occur because the Rh(III)(H) bond can be reversibly reductively deprotonated: Rh(III)(TFA)(H) ⇌ Rh(II)(TFAH).

The second route we considered is by direct addition of benzene to (L)Rh(I)(TFA)[TFAH] in a concerted intramolecular electrophilic substitution (IES) step, in which no Rh(III) intermediate is produced (Schemes 4 and 5, bottom pathway). The third route we considered is an internal proton transfer (L)Rh(I)(TFA)[TFAH] → (L)Rh(III)[TFA][H], followed by either benzene coordination and deprotonation by TFA via a six-membered ring transition state or direct hydrogen exchange with the Rh(III)(H) hydride (Schemes S1 and S2 in the ESI†).

The final route we considered is the oxidative addition of benzene as in the first route, but with isomerization to a Rh(III)(η²-H₂) adduct leading to H/D exchange (Fig. S8 and S9 in the ESI†). We found that for both complexes 3 and 4 the first two scenarios (direct oxidative addition of benzene and IES) are the most likely, with very similar barriers.
addition to 3 is 20.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and 21.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K. Subsequent internal protonation has calculated free energies of 32.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and 29.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K. In contrast, the IES pathway is calculated to occur with a transition state energy of 32.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and 28.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K relative to the starting complex. This shows that IES is slightly preferred but that both pathways are viable. Note that displacement of TFAH with benzene is favourable by 1.3/2.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K/498 K, so the actual overall barriers are slightly higher by that amount. The lowest barrier found for 4 is 18.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and 19.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K for oxidative addition, and 31.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and 27.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K for subsequent internal protonation, versus 31.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and 27.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K for internal deprotonation (Scheme 5). However, displacement of TFAH with benzene in 4 is favourable by 2.0/5.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 298 K/498 K, so the actual overall barriers are higher by that amount. All of these values imply accessible benzene oxidative addition at the reaction temperatures investigated. A comparison of direct oxidative addition and benzene coordination/deprotonation (our third proposed route) is shown in Scheme S1 for complex 3 and Scheme S2 for complex 4; and isomerization to Rh\(^{III}\)\((n^2-H_2)\) adducts (our fourth proposed route) considered in Fig. S8 for complex 3 and Fig. S9 for complex 4 (see ESIF). The experimental data for complex 1 indicate a TOF of \(-0.06\) s\(^{-1}\), which gives an activation barrier of 27.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (using the Eyring equation). Given the uncertainties in the experimental data (i.e., using TOs after 2 h rather than rigorous kinetic studies), the experimental activation barrier (27.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 423 K) and calculated barrier (30.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 498 K) are in reasonable agreement.

**Conclusions**

This work has demonstrated two efficient Rh catalyst precursors for H/D exchange between benzene and \(\text{D}_2\text{O}\) tritofluorocetic acid. Although caution is warranted for comparison of catalysts under different reaction conditions and solvents, catalyst 1 is among the most active Rh catalysts for benzene H/D exchange in \(\text{D}_2\text{O}\) tritofluorocetic acid (Table 1). Complex 1 exhibits similar activity to the most active Pt and Pd complexes reported for benzene H/D exchange in acidic media. In addition, complex 1 can be recycled at least three times without a significant decrease in activity. These results suggest that development of Rh catalysts for C–H functionalization is a promising target.
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**Table 1** Comparison of catalysts for H/D exchange in protic solvent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>TOF (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rh(PNP)Me (ref. 43)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rh(pyridinium)Cl(_2) (ref. 27)(^b)</td>
<td>AgOAc</td>
<td>D(_2)O</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rh(bmpza)Cl(_2) (ref. 32)(^c)</td>
<td>AgOTf</td>
<td>AcOD</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.8 \times 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rh[bfacac](_2)(Py)(Me) (ref. 33)(^d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TFAD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0 \times 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rh[BOZO](<a href="%5Bfafa">coec</a>]</td>
<td></td>
<td>CD(_2)OD</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pd(pyridinium)Cl(_2) (ref. 46)</td>
<td>AgBF(_4)</td>
<td>TFAD</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pt(2,6 dichloro-DAB) (ref. 45)</td>
<td>AgOAc</td>
<td>AcOD</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rh[4DAB](<a href="%5Bfafa">coec</a>]</td>
<td></td>
<td>TFAD</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pt(pyridinium)Cl(_2) (ref. 46)</td>
<td>AgBF(_4)</td>
<td>AcOD</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1 \times 10(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pt(2,6 dichloro-DAB) (ref. 45)</td>
<td>AgOAc</td>
<td>AcOD</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2 \times 10(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) (PNP = 2,6-bis((di-tertbutylphosphino)methyl]pyridine). \(^b\) (OAc = acetate). \(^c\) (bdmpza = bis(3,5-dimethylpyrazol-1-yl)acetate, OTf = triflate). \(^d\) (Py = pyridine).