The Surface Atomic Oxyradical Mechanism for Ag-Catalyzed Olefin Epoxidation
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We report comprehensive, quantitative, ab initio theoretical estimates for the energetics involved in the Ag-catalyzed epoxidation of olefins. From these estimates, a detailed mechanism is proposed. This mechanism (based on theoretical quantum chemical studies of the interaction of atomic and molecular adsorbates with clusters of Ag atoms) proposes that a surface atomic oxyradical anion is the active oxygen species for forming epoxide. This mechanism explains the role of electronegative (Cl⁻) and electropositive (Cs⁺) promoters and explains why selectivity (toward epoxide) is far higher for ethylene than for propene and other higher olefins. Recent experiments which suggested O₂(ad) as the active species are reinterpreted within the context of this new atomic oxygen radical mechanism.

The heterogeneous selective oxidation of olefins to epoxides by promoted Ag is a several billion dollar a year industry, with the epoxides providing an entry into glycols, polymers, and other specialty chemicals. The process involves the interaction of the olefin with oxygen over an alumina-supported Ag catalyst at typical reaction temperatures of ~270°C and pressures of 1–10 atm, to produce the corresponding epoxide along with undesirable combustion products (CO₂ and H₂O) (1a),

\[ \text{C}_n\text{H}_n + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_n\text{H}_n\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Although the reaction in (1) appears to be extremely simple, the mechanistic details of epoxide formation have eluded definitive results. Grasp of the key mechanistic features may lead to intelligent catalyst design to increase the selectivity for formation of epoxide beyond the current yields of 80–90%, which would have a significant economic impact on the glycol and other industries.

Unique features of the epoxidation catalysis are the following (1–3): (i) Ag is especially active, with other metals typically producing mostly combustion products; (ii) both electronegative (e.g., Cl⁻) and electropositive (e.g., Cs⁺) elements promote the reaction; and (iii) the process is selective only for C₂H₄, with higher olefins producing primarily CO₂ and H₂O.

Mechanistic controversies center around the nature of the oxygen species which performs the selective chemistry (e.g., whether absorbed dioxygen, O₂(ad), or monatomic oxygen, O(ad), is the active precursor (1–4) and why electronegative and electropositive elements both act to increase the selectivity toward epoxide (5).

From a series of quantum chemical calculations on finite Ag clusters interacting with a variety of adsorbates (6), we have calculated heats of formation (Table 1) of various relevant adsorbed intermediates likely to play a role in epoxidation catalysis. Using these heats of formation, we assess the thermodynamic feasibility of possi-
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TABLE I

Heats of Formation (at 298°K) of Adsorbed Species on Ag (kcal/mol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>ΔH_f,298</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(ad)</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_2(ad)</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH(ad)</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_2H_4(ad)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_2H_6(ad)</td>
<td>+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_6H_6(ad)</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_3H_6(ad)</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OCH_2CH_2(ad)</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OCH_2CHCH_3(ad)</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OCH=CH_2(ad)</td>
<td>-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OCH=CHCH_3(ad)</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OCH=CHCH_2(ad)</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH=CH_2O_2(ad)</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH=CH_2CH_2O_2(ad)</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OCH_2CH_3(ad)</td>
<td>+51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OXYGEN CHEMISORPTION

Figure 1 displays a qualitative potential energy surface for the interaction of O_2(g) with a Ag surface. Since O_2 is known to adsorb dissociatively above 150-200°K on Ag (9), monatomic oxygen is the primary oxygen species present under typical reaction conditions (T ~ 540°K). Experimentally, O_2 has a molecular chemisorption binding energy of ~10 kcal/mol (9, 10), with a small (<10 kcal/mol) barrier to dissociation from the chemisorbed state. Since the coverage of O_2 is negligibly small under typical reaction conditions (θO_2 = 1.21 × 10^-9 at 1 atm O_2 and T = 540°K (11a) and since we find that direct attack of O_2(ad) on ethylene is subject to a barrier of >15 kcal/mol (at least 5 kcal/mol higher than the barrier to dissociation), we conclude that O_2(ad) does not play a direct role in the catalysis (11b).

The nature of O_2(ad) is predicted to resemble O_2(d) lying parallel to the surface (Fig. 1) (6). The vibrational frequency calculated for O_2(ad) is ~1260 cm^-1, in good agreement with recent EELS data for O_2 adsorbed on polycrystalline silver [ω_σ(O-O) ~ 1300 cm^-1] (11c). The frequency of O_2(ad) on Ag(110) is anomalously low (ω_c ~ 640 cm^-1) (12a) and has often been ascribed to a O_2^- species, a highly unstable dianion. A less severe view of O_2(ad) on Ag(110) may be to consider it as a cis-dimetallaperoxide, in which the substituents are now much heavier than those in H_2O_2, leading to a very low ω_c(O-O).

The role of Cl and Cs, as representatives of the two classes of promoters, is also explained. New experiments are suggested to test various concepts of our postulated mechanism and keys to suppressing combustion (e.g., changing the reaction conditions) are discussed. The new mechanism, as outlined below, is more comprehensive and more detailed in its description of reaction pathways than previous mechanisms. Furthermore, this work offers the first quantitative thermodynamic estimates for each reaction step and, in addition, offers a detailed description of the electronic character of chemisorbed oxygen.

![Fig. 1. Potential energy diagram for interaction of oxygen with Ag surface.](image-url)
From Redhead analyses (IId) of the second-order desorption kinetics for O\(_{\text{ad}}\) on Ag(111) and two different coverages of O\(_{\text{ad}}\) on Ag(110), we calculate identical binding energies for O/Ag(111) and the high-coverage state of O/Ag(110) \(D(\text{Ag}-\text{O}) = 76.6\) kcal/mol and a slightly stronger binding energy for the low-coverage O\(_{\text{ad}}\) on Ag(110) \(D(\text{Ag}-\text{O}) = 78.1\) kcal/mol. These values are in excellent agreement with our theoretical prediction of 78-79 kcal/mol for O\(_{\text{ad}}\) on Ag (6). Thus the heat of dissociative chemisorption of dioxygen on Ag is \(\sim 38\) kcal/mol (Fig. 1). Above \(\sim 370^\circ\text{K}\), oxygen diffuses into the subsurface layers of Ag (12a). This subsurface oxide desorbs between 780 and 850\(^{\circ}\text{K}\), implying a binding energy of about 83 kcal/mol (Fig. 1) (12a,e,f).

Theoretical calculations of O adsorbed in the threefold site on Ag suggest the existence of two nearly degenerate states of oxygen with similar binding energies (79 versus 78 kcal/mol) but with very different character (6). The ground state oxyradical has an unpaired (radical) electron in a \(p\) orbital, perpendicular to the surface. In contrast, the di-\(\sigma\) oxide excited state (1 kcal/mol higher) has both electrons of O atom paired into bonds to the metal surface.

We predict very different properties for these two species, with the atomic oxyradical exhibiting a long perpendicular distance from the surface of 1.80 Å \([R_e(\text{Ag}-\text{O})] = 2.45\) Å and an Ag–O stretching frequency of 299 cm\(^{-1}\) , while the di-\(\sigma\) oxide is 1.37 Å from the surface \([R_e(\text{Ag} - \text{O}) = 2.16\) Å\] with \(\omega_e(\text{Ag}-\text{O}) = 412\) cm\(^{-1}\). These values may be compared with the observed EEL spectrum (12a) for O\(_{\text{ad}}\) on Ag(110), where the Ag–O vibration is found at 315 cm\(^{-1}\) and SEXAFS data (12c) [for the \(p-(2 \times 1)-\text{O}\) overlayer on Ag(110), where \(\theta_0 = 0.5\)] in which \(R(\text{Ag}-\text{O}) = 2.06\) and 2.17 Å for the nearest and next-nearest neighbor silver atoms, respectively. (The next-nearest neighbor Ag atoms are the second-layer Ag atoms which contribute to the four-coordinate trough site.) SEXAFS data are unavailable for the three-coordinate site on Ag(110) or Ag(111), which we suspect (vide infra) to be the oxyradical species, with a long \(R(\text{Ag}-\text{O})\) and a lower Ag–O vibration. Consistent with the findings of Benndorf et al., who observed a 220 cm\(^{-1}\) loss in the EELS for O/Ag(111) (12d), nearly 100 cm\(^{-1}\) lower than for O/Ag(110), we predict a similar lowering of the vibrational frequency for O/Ag(111) \([\omega_e = 299\) cm\(^{-1}\)] versus O/Ag(110) \([\omega_e = 412\) cm\(^{-1}\]).

The surface atomic oxyradical ground state of O\(_{\text{ad}}\), with its unpaired electron in the orbital pointing away from the surface, should be quite reactive toward a variety of substrates (CO, olefins, etc.), whereas the di-\(\sigma\) oxide should be less reactive, since the electrons on oxygen are strongly coupled to the surface. In particular, because of its unpaired electron, the SAO state should attack the \(\pi\)-bond of an olefin to form the epoxide precursor (Fig. 2) with essentially no barrier. This precursor should have little or no energy barrier for closure to form epoxide, leading to a rapid, two-step process. Evidence for such a two-step process involving a primary carbon radical undergoing partial randomization of stereochemistry is provided by the labeling studies of Cant and Hall (13a), who found that either \(\text{cis}\)- or \(\text{trans}-d_2\) ethylene produced a mixture of \(\text{cis}\)- and \(\text{trans}-d_2\) epoxide which was 92% equilibrated.

As an experimental probe for the presence of the atomic oxyradical state, we suggest NEXAFS (near edge X-ray absorption fine structure). With an unpaired electron residing in an orbital perpendicular to the surface, the X-ray absorption should reach a minimum at normal incidence of polarized light and a maximum at grazing incidence (with the electric vector perpendicular to
the surface). Earlier studies (13b,c) probing for oxygen radicals using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) techniques were carried out on supported catalysts, where it is difficult to accurately assess the oxygen coverage. While Shimizu et al. (13b) did not report an estimate for \( \theta_0 \), Clarkson and Cirillo (13c) determined \( \theta_0 \) to be \( \sim 0.44 \) for their catalyst. Since we predict the SAO to exist only above \( \theta_0 = 0.5 \) and since we predict that the di-\( \sigma \) oxide (with no radical character) is preferred at \( \theta_0 < 0.5 \) (vide infra), we do not expect an EPR signal for O\textsuperscript{−} to be present on these supported catalysts, consistent with the findings of these previous studies. In order to test the existence of atomic oxygen radicals with EPR, experiments with oxygen coverages above one-half a monolayer are required.

**EPOXIDATION AND COMBUSTION ENERGETICS**

Figure 2a displays the thermodynamics of epoxidation as expected from our cluster calculations for ethylene reacting with the surface atomic oxyradical. We find that each step leading to the formation of adsorbed epoxide is favorable, with the overall reaction downhill by 6 kcal/mol. [These energetics are based on a gas phase olefin interacting with the SAO (an Eley–Rideal mechanism). If the olefin is adsorbed when it interacts with \( \text{O}_\text{(ad)} \) (Langmuir–Hinshelwood), then the heat of adsorption of the olefin (which varies from \( \sim 5 \) to 10 kcal/mol depending on the coverage of oxygen) will push the energy of the reactants shown in Fig. 2 down by 5–10 kcal/mol, rendering the reaction nearly thermoneutral.] Analo-
gous radical addition processes in the gas phase are known to exhibit extremely low barriers (0–2 kcal/mol) \((14a)\), and thus we expect small barriers for the steps in Fig. 2.

The SAO state is ideally posed for direct attack on the \(\pi\)-bond of the olefin, leading to an intermediate which would close selectively to form epoxide. The rate-determining step would be the reaction of SAO with gas phase or weakly adsorbed olefin. However, this cannot be the whole story, because the same energetics (see Fig. 2b) apply to propene \((C_3H_6)\), whereas experimental epoxide yields are 40–90% for \(C_2H_4\) (depending on the catalyst) but only 0–6% for propene \((4, 14b,c)\). Thus, although thermodynamically and kinetically feasible, a competing pathway to combustion must be operative for propene, while this route must not be so accessible for ethylene.

The explanation that leaps to mind for the combustion propensity of propene is hydrogen abstraction by surface oxygen (to form allyl radical), followed by reaction of the resulting radicals with other surface oxy-

\[ \text{Oxidation Products:} \quad \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

ygen to form \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\). Indeed, we find that abstraction of H from \(C_2H_4\) by the surface atomic oxyradical to form \(\text{OH}_{\text{ad}}\) and a vinyl radical is \textit{uphill} by \(-39\) kcal/mol with an expected barrier of \(44\) kcal/mol (Fig. 3), whereas H abstraction from propene (to form allyl) is uphill by only \(~9\) kcal/mol with an expected barrier of \(16\) kcal/mol (Fig. 4). The contrast in abstraction energetics for the two olefins is due to the difference in the C–H bond strengths in \(C_2H_4\) and \(C_3H_6\): 118 kcal/mol \((15)\) for \(C_2H_4\) and \(88\) kcal/mol \((16)\) for \(C_3H_6\). (Primary H abstraction from propene results in the formation of a resonance-stabilized allyl radical.) Thus H abstraction from the reactant olefin is highly \textit{unfavorable} for \(C_2H_4\) (leading to small yields of \(\text{CO}_2\)), but is somewhat \textit{favorable} for \(C_3H_6\), favoring combustion for propene. An experimental test of this direct H abstraction pathway would be to replace all allylic H’s with other alkyl groups, e.g., \(t\)-butylethylene or styrene, to see if higher yields of epoxide are obtained.

Although attractive, the above explana-

---

**Fig. 3.** Potential energy surface for the selective oxidation of ethylene to epoxide and for an initial step toward combustion (via H-atom abstraction from \(C_2H_4\)).
tion cannot be a complete one. We expect that formation of epoxide from propylene involves little or no barrier, whereas the H abstraction step (above) is endothermic by ~9 kcal/mol. Thus, of these two postulated pathways, the formation of propylene oxide should be favored thermodynamically and kinetically over direct hydrogen abstraction. Therefore allylic hydrogen abstraction from propene is not likely to be the primary combustion pathway.

Instead we propose that combustion of propene proceeds via the alternative route proposed in Fig. 5, where after the surface atomic oxyradical attacks the olefinic $\pi$-bond, a nearby surface oxygen abstracts the $\gamma$-H from the oxypropenyl radical to form OH$_{\text{ad}}$ and an adsorbed allyl alkoxide species (a process that is downhill by 37 kcal/mol!). Thus, after the oxypropenyl radical is formed ($\Delta H = -7$ kcal/mol), it may either

(i) close to form propylene oxide ($\Delta H = -11$ kcal/mol) or
(ii) react with a nearby surface oxygen ($\Delta H = -37$ kcal/mol) to form adsorbed allyl alkoxide (Fig. 6).

The large exothermicity of process (ii) suggests a very small barrier, due to early formation of a new $\pi$-bond upon $\gamma$-H abstraction. The combustion of propene may then continue via combustion of this allyl alkoxide intermediate. The initial step for this combustion pathway requires sufficient oxygen coverage such that oxygens are present in next-nearest neighbor (nnn) sites.

This two-step oxygen addition/$\gamma$-H abstraction pathway for olefin combustion (we denote it as the OA/$\gamma$H pathway) exhibits small barriers at each step and predicts the presence of a common intermediate (the oxyalkyl radical) en route to both epoxide and combustion products. Since the SAO mechanism suggests that the initial attack of olefin on SAO is rate-determining, the rates of combustion and selective oxidation should follow similar trends. For propene, combustion competes effectively with epoxidation because the $\gamma$-H's extend ~2.7 Å across the surface, within striking distance of a nnn O$_{\text{ad}}$ (2.9 Å away from the oxygen in the adsorbed oxypropenyl radical). On the other hand, such pathways are inaccessible for ethylene, since C$_2$H$_4$ has no $\gamma$-H's. Abstraction of a
\[ \Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = -41 \text{ and } -39 \text{ kcal/mol for ethylene and propene, respectively} \]

The formation of a common intermediate...
in the rate-determining step to both epoxide and \( \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) has also been suggested by Cant and Hall (13) and by Campbell (7a, 17) both of whom found that production of epoxide and combustion follow similar kinetics.

In order to test this mechanistic pathway, we suggest three experiments. The first is to follow the reaction of propene with \( \text{O}/\text{Ag}(111) \) as a function of atomic oxygen coverage (\( \theta_0 \)). In the limit of very low coverages of \( \text{O}_{(ad)} \), our model predicts that selectivity to epoxide should increase due to the decrease in \( \text{nnn} \) oxygen adatoms necessary for combustion of the oxypropenyl intermediate. (Low coverages of \( \text{O}/\text{Ag}(110) \) will not serve as an adequate test, since the di-\( \sigma \) oxide should prevail under these conditions, leading to only combustion products.)

The second experiment is to expose allylic alcohol to an oxygen-precovered Ag surface. The dissociative adsorption of \( \text{CH}_2=\text{CHCH}_2\text{OH} \) to form \( \text{CH}_2=\text{CH-CH}_2\text{O}_{(ad)} + \text{OH}_{(ad)} \) is expected to be downhill by \( \sim -29 \text{ kcal/mol} \). The observation of combustion of \( \text{CH}_2\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{O}_{(ad)} \) would be consistent with our mechanism, whereas if \( \text{CH}_2\equiv\text{CHCH}_2\text{O}_{(ad)} \) does not combust in the presence of \( \text{O}_{(ad)} \), then our proposed mechanism for propene combustion is incomplete. In a third experiment, the allylic or \( \gamma \)-\( \text{H} \) positions of the olefin should be blocked using \( t \)-butylethylene or styrene. Our mechanism predicts that selectivity would increase. Although this last experiment does not distinguish between the two combustion pathways presented (\( \text{H} \) abstraction from the free olefin or from the adsorbed oxypropenyl radical), an unchanged combustion product yield would indicate that \( \text{H} \) abstraction from the allylic or \( \gamma \) position is not involved in the dominant combustion pathway.

Previous work by Geenen et al. (14b) indicated that the oxidation of propene over a Ag–Au alloy produced acrolein as the primary product. Allyl intermediates, although not directly observed, were proposed to explain the synthesis of acrolein.

Note that acrolein may be obtained within our mechanism as a subsequent oxidation product, obtained by \( \alpha \)-\( \text{H} \) abstraction from adsorbed allyl alkoxide. Indeed, we estimate that the reaction

\[
\text{CH}_2=\text{CHCH}_2\text{O}_{(ad)} + \text{O}_{(ad)} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\equiv\text{CHCHO}_{(g)} + \text{OH}_{(ad)}
\]

is exothermic by \( -9 \text{ kcal/mol} \).

**INTERPRETATION OF CONFLICTING EPOXIDATION EXPERIMENTS**

Ag single-crystal studies by Campbell and co-workers (5, 7) have suggested that the steady-state coverage of monatomic oxygen is uncorrelated to the specific activity of the catalyst. Campbell measured the relative saturation coverages of \( \text{O}_{(ad)} \) on Ag(111) and Ag(110) and found them to differ by a factor of 18 [with a lower saturation coverage on Ag(111)] (7c). Since the activity on both surfaces only differed by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) [with Ag(110) exhibiting the greater activity], he concluded that monatomic oxygen plays no role in the reaction kinetics and suggested instead that \( \text{O}_{2(ad)} \) is responsible for epoxide formation. In addition, Campbell and Koel found that the postreaction oxygen coverage decreased substantially with increasing chlorine coverage, while the selectivity of the Ag catalyst in the presence of added Cl remained fairly constant (5b). The interpretation offered by these authors dismissed \( \text{O}_{(ad)} \) as the active epoxidation reagent and proposed \( \text{O}_{2(ad)} \) instead. However, no direct evidence for \( \text{O}_2 \) interacting with ethylene to form epoxide has been presented.

We suggest an alternative explanation of the experiments of Campbell and Koel which is consistent with our mechanism. Two high-symmetry adsorption sites exist on the corrugated (110) surface (Fig. 7):

(a) a four-coordinate site in the trough involving two second-layer atoms and two first-layer atoms and

(b) a three-coordinate site along the side
FIG. 7. The two high-symmetry adsorption sites for \( \text{O} \) on \( \text{Ag}(110) \): (a) the four-coordinate trough site (suitable for di-\( \sigma \) oxide) and (b) the three-coordinate site on the side of the trough (suitable for SAO).

of the trough (or a two-coordinate site at the crest).

We believe that the four-coordinate site leads to stronger bonding and to a di-\( \sigma \)-like oxide which is not as active as the oxyradical state favored at the lower-coordination site. This is consistent with LEED data from Menzel and co-workers (18) indicating that the four-coordinate trough sites fill first, resulting in a series of \( n \) by 1 patterns \( (n = 2 \text{ to } 7) \), and with thermal desorption data of Campbell (19), who finds a peak temperature of 617°K for \( \theta_0 \approx 0.5 \), in contrast to 579°K on \( \text{Ag}(111) \) (10). The maximum coverage for this site is \( \theta_0 = 0.5 \), exhibiting a \( p-(2 \times 1) \) LEED pattern. Campbell has shown that this species is relatively unreactive to CO (19). However, he has also observed a higher-coverage species which forms a \( c-(6 \times 2) \) overlayer, desorbs at a lower temperature (565°K), and is found to react five times more readily with CO to form \( \text{CO}_2 \) (19). We believe that this is the oxyradical state at the low-coordination site. On the other hand, the \( \text{Ag}(111) \) surface has only three-coordinate sites which we believe lead to the selective formation of oxyradical species, thus explaining the higher specific activity per surface site for \( \text{Ag}(111) \). The saturation coverage of oxygen is larger on the (110) plane than on the (111) surface, since two sites may be filled on the (110) plane whereas only one site may be used on the (111) [ignoring the small difference in properties expected for the fcc and hcp sites on the (111) surface]. Thus the saturation coverage is greater on the (110) plane due to the accessibility of two kinds of sites rather than only one.

To test the proposed existence of two different types of oxygen adatoms, we suggest both NEXAFS and titration experiments. NEXAFS can probe for the presence of an unpaired electron on the adsorbate, as well as determine its relative orientation (e.g., the singly occupied \( p \)-orbital in the oxyradical, which should be perpendicular to the local surface). Titrating \( \text{O}_\text{ad} \), for instance, with \( \text{CO} \) or \( \text{NO}_2 \), followed by exposure of the surface to ethylene, may reveal which type of oxygen is the precursor to epoxide. For example, we would expect the oxyradical species to react faster with \( \text{NO}_2 \) than with \( \text{CO}_2 \), reducing the yield of epoxide.

Supporting evidence for the role of adsorbed oxygen atoms in epoxidation comes from recent labeling studies by van Santen and de Groot (8a). Adsorption of \( ^{16}\text{O}_2 \) at temperatures above 150–200°K resulted in an \( ^{16}\text{O} \) atom-precovered surface. When these workers exposed an \( ^{16}\text{O} \)-precovered \( \text{Ag} \) powder to a gaseous mixture of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \) and \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \), the initial product at early conversion was exclusively \( ^{16}\text{O} \)-ethylene oxide. Unless the oxygen adatoms recombine immediately prior to reaction with ethylene, these experiments provide direct evidence that the epoxide is formed by reaction of the olefin with adsorbed monatomic oxygen. While other workers such as Twigg (20), Hayes (21), Force and Bell (22), Backx et al. (12a,b, 23), Grant and Lambert (7d,e), and van Santen and Kuipers (1a) have also suggested monatomic oxygen as the active epoxidation agent, there has not previously been a prediction of the microscopic nature of \( \text{O}_\text{ad} \), with a detailed prediction for electronic character of oxygen at high (SAO) and low (di-\( \sigma \) oxide) oxygen coverages.

We propose that catalyst activity may be controlled by maximizing the concentration of surface atomic oxyradical species present on the surface. This can be accomplished by saturating the surface so as to fill up the inactive (fourfold hollow) sites or by
stabilizing the SAO state. This idea that an active catalyst requires oxygen saturation is consistent with the experimental results on Ag powders where it is found that production of epoxide requires oxygen coverages higher than a 1:2 O:Ag ratio (8b).

THE ROLE OF PROMOTERS

Campbell and Koel have suggested that the effect of Cl is due to site-blocking (5b). This is based on the observation that as the coverage of Cl increases, less CO is formed. They argue that the rate-determining steps in the combustion of C_2H_4 require a number of contiguous sites on the surface, whereas epoxidation may occur with a smaller, bare surface area. We agree that Cl acts as a site-blocker, but in our mechanism it is the specific type of sites blocked by Cl which increase the selectivity. This is consistent with the lack of any obvious trend in activity with Cl exposure. (The activity may increase or decrease upon addition of Cl under varying reaction conditions (5b, 17).) Our interpretation of Campbell and Koel’s experiments is that Cl fills the four-coordinate trough sites, forcing the (di-σ bonded) oxygen adatoms out of the unreactive trough sites and into the reactive SAO state. Indeed, angle-resolved SIMS data of Winograd and co-workers (24) show that the height of Cl above the surface drops dramatically as the coverage of Cl on Ag(110) increases, which they interpret as Cl falling into the troughs of the (110) surface.

From coadsorption studies of cesium and oxygen on Ag(111), Campbell has shown that aggregates of approximate stoichiometry CsO_3 are formed under epoxidation reaction conditions (5d). Campbell suggests that the observed increase in selectivity is due to the same ensemble effect he proposed for Cl. We postulate an alternative interpretation in which Cs^+ (atop three O_{ad}) acts as a strong Lewis acid and enhances the probability of adsorption of the olefin through a Lewis acid–Lewis base interaction. The selectivity is increased since

the best Lewis acid–Lewis base interaction involves maximal donation from the olefin π-bond. Maximum bonding involves holding the olefin parallel to the surface, making its π-bond readily accessible for attack by the SAO to form epoxide, and making the C–H bonds less accessible to abstraction (which would lead to combustion). Activity may increase due to the enhanced olefin sticking probability, but it also may decrease if Cs^+ forms complexes with the surface atomic oxyradical species.

MECHANISTIC IMPLICATIONS FOR MAXIMUM SELECTIVITY

A common view of the mechanism for this reaction considers that adsorbed diatomic oxygen acts as the active precursor to epoxide (1). The model involves oxygen bound as a peroxyradical species, with one end up toward vacuum and one end σ-bonded to the surface, and assumes that the outer oxygen reacts with high selectivity to form epoxide. This leaves behind an adsorbed oxygen atom, which is presumed to react only in processes involved in combustion. This mechanism predicts a maximum selectivity for the formation of ethylene oxide of 6/7 or 85.7%. On the other hand, the surface atomic oxyradical mechanism has no implied limit on selectivity. Thus to increase the selectivity toward 100%, the challenge is to find ways to keep O_{ad} in the SAO state, by poisoning sites leading to other O_{ad} states. In particular, for Ag(110) we suggest that it is crucial to keep the oxygen surface coverage above one-half of a monolayer, in order to ensure production of the SAO. This is consistent with the enhanced reactivity found by Campbell above \( \theta_0 = 0.5 \) and with van Santen and de Groot’s observation that successful epoxidation requires oxygen to silver ratios above 1:2.

SUMMARY

Our current model of the mechanistic details of the Ag-catalyzed epoxidation reaction is as follows:
(i) The special activity of Ag relative to other transition metals is due to the fact that the olefin and its initial dissociation products are bound very weakly on the noble metals, whereas Group VIII metals bind olefins so strongly that they decompose rapidly to form \( C_{\text{ad}} + H_{\text{ad}} \). While ethylene binds weakly to Cu, Ag, and Au, the unique quality about Ag relative to Cu and Au is that the oxygen adatoms can be formed readily (a large barrier to dissociative adsorption of \( O_2 \) exists on Au (25)) and that these \( O_{\text{ad}} \) are sufficiently weakly bound \( O \) that epoxidation is favorable. (O on Cu readily forms an oxide of composition \( Cu_{2.2}O \), where the oxygens are too strongly bound to react with olefins (26).)

(ii) The surface atomic oxyradical species is the active oxygen precursor to epoxide.

(iii) The pathways for the surface atomic oxyradical to convert olefin to epoxide are thermodynamically favorable with small barriers.

(iv) Combustion is favorable for higher olefins because of the presence of \( \gamma \)-H's accessible to oxyradicals in next-nearest neighbor surface sites. We propose the two-step oxygen addition/\( \gamma \)-H abstraction mechanism as the most likely pathway for combustion. The reaction to form the allyl alkoxy intermediate is downhill by 37 kcal/mol, due to the formation of the new \( \pi \)-bond. Ethylene does not combust via the same pathway, since there are no \( \gamma \)-hydrogens, resulting in a high selectivity for epoxidation.

(v) The role of electronegative promoters is to block inactive sites for surface oxygen and to promote formation of the surface atomic oxyradical species.

(vi) The role of alkali promoters is to enhance olefin adsorption. In addition, the alkali metal cation holds the olefin parallel to the surface through a Lewis acid–Lewis base interaction, making the \( \pi \)-bond more accessible to the surface atomic oxyradical species and making the C–H bonds less accessible to reactions that may lead to combustion.

In summary, the surface atomic oxyradical mechanism explains the uniqueness of Ag, the selectivity for ethylene, the combustion of higher olefins, and the role of promoters. Experiments have been suggested to test various aspects of the proposed mechanism. This work reports the first comprehensive theoretical estimates for the energetics of explicit reaction pathways relevant in Ag-catalyzed olefin epoxidation.
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