The use of hydrogen as a future energy carrier is an essential part of future energy strategies; however, application as an energy carrier in vehicles and portable electronics is limited by problems with hydrogen storage.\(^1\) Two major strategies being pursued toward hydrogen storage for fuel cell applications are dissociative adsorption (DA) and associated adsorption (AA) of hydrogen.

DA is the mode for metal alloys, which dissolve the H atoms separately in the matrix breaking the H–H bond. These systems tend to suffer from large barriers in dissociating the H–H bond in storing the hydrogen and large barriers in reassociating the H atoms to desorb H\(_2\) for input to the fuel cell. In contrast AA binds the H\(_2\) as a molecule, reducing the rate problems with adsorbing or desorbing. But here the challenge has been to obtain sufficiently strong bonding to molecular H\(_2\) to achieve the target of 6.0 wt % H\(_2\) near room temperature with pressures \(<100\) bar.\(^2\)

A recent major advance in AA systems is the development of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are crystalline materials composed of metal oxide and organic units.\(^3\) At 60 bar and 77 K, IRMOF-1 stores 5.0 wt % of H\(_2\) and MOF-177 stores 7.5 wt %,\(^3b\) However, their H\(_2\) uptake capability decreases dramatically near room temperature to \(\sim 0.5\) wt %, far too low for practical use. Although the H\(_2\) storage capability at room temperature can be increased to 1.8 wt % at 298 K and 100 bar by hydrogen spillover techniques,\(^3\) the current materials do not meet the 2010 DOE (Department of Energy) criteria for use in transportation (6.0 wt % in the temperature range of \(\sim 30\) to 80 °C).\(^2\)

We report here that Li-doped MOFs significantly improve H\(_2\) uptake capacity near ambient conditions. Indeed, we predict that at \(\sim 30\) °C and 100 bar the Li-MOF-C30 leads gravimetric H\(_2\) uptake of 6.0 wt %, reaching (barely) the 2010 DOE target.

Figure 1 illustrates the metal (Zn) oxide secondary building unit and the organic carboxylate links of the MOFs investigated here. We considered systems based on a cubic lattice with optimized lattice parameters of 26.025 for MOF-C6, 30.252 for MOF-C10, 34.374 for MOF-C16, 38.652 for MOF-C22, and 42.824 Å for MOF-C30, which are in good agreement (within 0.7%) of available experimental lattice parameters.\(^3\)

Starting with these structures, we used quantum mechanics (QM) calculations (X3LYP flavor of DFT)\(^4\) to predict the structure for Li atoms bound to aromatic organic linkers with up to nine fused rings. We find that Li atoms prefer to bind at the centers of the hexagonal aromatic rings, but Li atoms on adjacent aromatic rings are on opposite sides. To predict the strength of binding H\(_2\) to these structures we used the results for QM calculations [high quality second-order Møller–Plesset (MP2) at the quadruple-\(\zeta\) ZVZPP and triple-\(\zeta\) TZVPP basis sets]\(^5\) to calculate the van der Waals interaction between H\(_2\) and the metal-oxide clusters and between H\(_2\) and the organic linkers.

These QM results were used to determine the nonbond H–C, H–O, and H–Zn interactions in the final force field (FF). The FF leads to structures and energies in very good agreement with the QM data. The FF parameters and comparison to QM are in the Supporting Information (SI).

With this first-principles-derived FF, we used grand canonical ensemble Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations\(^6\) to calculate the H\(_2\) uptake behavior of the Li-MOFs. This determines the equilibrium loading of H\(_2\) as a function of pressures and temperature, as shown in Figure 2. To eliminate boundary effects, we use an infinite three-dimensionally periodic cell containing four independent sheets each with 32 Zn atoms. Additional calculation details are included in the SI.

Our simulations show that the H\(_2\) uptake of the MOF-C6 at 77 K and 1 bar is 1.28 wt % which compares well with the experimental results of 1.32 wt %, while for MOF-C10 at 77 K and 1 bar we calculate 1.62 wt % which compares well with experiment, 1.50 wt %,\(^3e\) We calculate that MOF-C6 has 4.17 wt % at a pressure of 20 bar and 77 K (experimental value of \(\sim 4.6\))\(^5\) and 4.89 wt % at a pressure of 50 bar and 77 K (experimental result of \(\sim 5.0\) wt %).\(^5\) In addition, at 300 K our simulation predicts that MOF-C6 has 0.35 wt % at 60 bar (experimental value of 0.45 wt %)\(^3\) and MOF-C10 has 0.3 wt % at 30 bar (experimental value of...
compared with the Connolly surface areas in the SI, where we see that the BET is 58% smaller, but with a linear relationship (area Connolly = 1.28 area BET + 1888.94). For both pure MOF and Li-doped MOF systems, there is a linear correspondence of \( \text{H}_2 \) uptake with surface area but with a slope that increases with the ratio of Li to C. In particular, the Li concentration is the dominant factor for high \( \text{H}_2 \) uptake near room temperature.

For the pure MOFs, the \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule binds weakly with both the metal oxide clusters and the aromatic linkers with binding energies of 1.5 and 0.9 kcal/mol, respectively. This leads to significant \( \text{H}_2 \) uptake in pure MOF systems only at temperature of 77 K and lower. However, for Li-MOF the high electron affinity of the aromatic sp\(^2\) carbon framework promotes separation of the charge, making the Li positive (acidic), providing strong stabilization of molecular \( \text{H}_2 \). Here we obtain effective binding energies of 4.0 kcal/mol, enhancing high-temperature \( \text{H}_2 \) uptake.

Summarizing, our first-principles based simulations show that Li-MOF systems can reach the 2010 DOE target of 6.0 wt % gravimetric at \(-30^\circ\text{C}\) and 100 bar. Even at 300 K, Li-MOF-30 leads to 5.2 wt % at 100 bar. This suggests that the doping of MOF with electropositive metals is a promising strategy for practical hydrogen storage.
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(6) The GCAM calculations were carried out using the sorption module of Cerius2 (Accelrys, San Diego) with the FF described in SI. The results reported here are for excess \( \text{H}_2 \) uptake (see ref 9).
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