


The Mechanism by Which Ionic Liquids Enable Shilov-Type CH Activation in an Oxidizing Medium

Zhitao Xu, Jonas Oxgaard, and William Goddard

Organometallics, 2008, 27 (15), 3770-3773 • DOI: 10.1021/om800274f • Publication Date (Web): 16 July 2008

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on January 27, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

[View the Full Text HTML](#)



ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

The Mechanism by Which Ionic Liquids Enable Shilov-Type CH Activation in an Oxidizing Medium

Zhitao Xu, Jonas Oxgaard,* and William Goddard*

Materials and Process Simulation Center (MC 139-74), California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Boulevard, Pasadena, California 91125

Received March 27, 2008

Quantum mechanical studies on methane CH activation catalyzed by PtCl₂ in concentrated H₂SO₄ and ionic liquid solution show that the effect of the ionic liquid is to enable Shilov-like chemistry in an oxidizing medium, by solvating the otherwise insoluble PtCl₂(s) in H₂SO₄. Other possible mechanisms have been investigated and discarded.

Introduction

The world's resources in methane are grossly underutilized, mainly due to a lack of efficient low-temperature catalytic processes to convert the methane to transportable liquid forms. The problem is finding a system that can catalyze *both* activation of the CH bond of methane to form a MC bond and the functionalization of MC (i.e., formation of a CX bond). Considerable progress has been made, particularly with the reports of the Shilov^{1,2} and the Periana-Catalytica (PC)^{3,4} systems, but various problems prevent commercialization.

The Shilov system utilizes an aqueous solution of square-planar Pt(II) complexes {[PtCl_{4-n}(H₂O)_n]ⁿ⁻², n = 0 to 2} as the catalytic center for CH activation and uses PtCl₆²⁻ as the stoichiometric oxidant to convert the MC intermediate to methanol and/or chloromethane. The catalytic rate of the Shilov system is very good, but it has two chief drawbacks: (i) the use of an expensive stoichiometric oxidant and (ii) catalyst instability through disproportionation of the Pt^{II} complex to Pt⁰ and Pt^{IV}. Consequently, although Sen et al.⁵ reported an aerobic variant of the Shilov system to bypass the stoichiometric oxidant issue, the system still appears to be unviable due to this instability.

The PC system uses (bpym)Pt(II)Cl₂ (bpym = η²-[2,2'-bispyrimidyl]) to convert methane to methyl bisulfate in fuming H₂SO₄, where H₂SO₄ serves also as the oxidant.³ The PC system displays the highest efficiency and selectivity reported to date, and it is thermally stable under the reaction conditions. However, unlike the Shilov system, the PC catalyst is deactivated by water and the methanol product.⁴ Consequently, the PC system does not operate in acid concentration lower than 96% H₂SO₄, severely limiting its commercial utility.

Recently, Cheng et al.⁶ reported the effective conversion of methane to methanol using a ternary system containing inorganic





Figure 1. Predicted structure of the neutral complex [PtCl₄²⁻]-[HPzm]₂ (A) The four Pt–Cl bonds are 2.39 Å, and the NH–Cl hydrogen bonds (HB) are 1.98 Å.

Pt salts, concentrated sulfuric acid, and organic ionic liquids (IL) (reaction 1). The activity of this IL system is 50% lower than the PC system in 102% H₂SO₄ [2% oleum], but it is much more tolerant to water than the PC system (~300% higher activity in 96% H₂SO₄ [4% H₂O]).



The amount of IL in this system is low (6 equiv with respect to Pt), and it is not clear what role the IL plays in enabling this chemistry.⁶ This prompted us to explore the mechanism for this transformation, and we here report QM studies on the CH activation mechanism carried out by PtCl₄²⁻ in H₂SO₄ with pyrazolium (Pzm)-based IL additives.

We considered the neutral complex [PtCl₄²⁻][HPzm]₂ (structure A, Figure 1) as the likely active catalytic species. Including solvation, we calculate that 1/6(PtCl₂)₆ + 2[HPzm][Cl] → A has ΔE = –68.3 kcal/mol, suggesting that the IL would dissolve solid PtCl₂ in concentrated H₂SO₄, as experimentally observed. In contrast, we calculate that 1/6(PtCl₂)₆ → PtCl₂ has ΔE = +29.4 kcal/mol *uphill* in water, consistent with the experimental observation that that, without IL, PtCl₂(s) is not soluble in H₂SO₄.⁶

We also calculated the energies required to replace one of the Cl ligands in [PtCl₄²⁻][HPzm]₂ with either HSO₄⁻ (*uphill*

* Corresponding authors. E-mail: oxgaard@wag.caltech.edu; wag@wag.caltech.edu.

- (1) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2879.
- (2) Lersch, M.; Tilset, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2471.
- (3) Periana, R. A.; Taube, D. J.; Gamble, S.; Taube, H.; Satoh, T.; Fujii, H. *Science* **1998**, *280*, 560.
- (4) Conley, B. L.; Tenn, W. J.; Young, K. J. H.; Ganesh, S. K.; Meier, S. K.; Ziatdinov, V. R.; Mironov, O.; Oxgaard, J.; Gonzales, J.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2006**, *251*, 8.
- (5) Lin, M.; Shen, C.; Garcia-Zayas, E. A.; Sen, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1000.
- (6) Cheng, J. H.; Li, Z. W.; Haught, M.; Tang, Y. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 4617.
- (7) Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Crabtree, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 4442.




Figure 2. Energy profile and related structures for C–H activation of methane catalyzed by PtCl₄²⁻ in ionic liquid solution. All energies are solvated ΔE (kcal/mol).

by 3.5 kcal/mol) or H₂O (uphill by 3.2 kcal/mol). This higher energy of the PtCl₃(H₂O)⁻ complex is consistent with previous theoretical results for the PtCl₄²⁻ system under Shilov conditions,⁸ but differs from the results on the PC system, where the Pt(bpy)Cl(H₂O)⁺ complex is more stable than the Pt(bpy)Cl₂ complex.⁹ This may explain why the PtCl₂/IL/H₂SO₄ system is more resistant to water poisoning than the PC system.

Figure 2 shows the energetics we predict for methane activation by [PtCl₄²⁻][HPzm]₂. We included all reacting species throughout the reaction, allowing the two HPzm⁺ and their Cl⁻ anions to freely coordinate. To start the reaction we added an explicit methane to **A**, leading to complex **B**, with the CH₄ weakly coordinating to the PtCl₄[HPzm]₂ complex (closest Pt–CH₄ distance = 4.1 Å).

Furthermore, since the experiments see rapid H/D exchange, we included an explicit DCl in our calculation, in order to determine the barrier for exchanging a D for the methane H. [Since the experiment is done in concentrated D₂SO₄, the D is likely provided by D₂SO₄ rather than DCl, but we expect the two sources to have similar chemistry.] We found that the explicit DCl coordinates to the Pt^{II} center with a binding energy of 5.3 kcal/mol, significantly greater than expected from a van der Waals complex. Indeed, the D–Pt distance in **B** is only 2.30 Å, indicating that the electropositive D forms a hydrogen bond to the filled Pt d_{z²} orbital. Consequently, we included an explicit DCl in all complexes, since the implicit solvation model might not account fully for the effect of the highly acidic solvent.

From **B**, the first chemical step is migration of the CH₄ to the first solvation shell of the Pt complex. This leads to **C**, where the displaced Cl remains coordinated to the ILs. **C** is a σ-complex with agostic interactions between Pt and CH₄, as indicated by the elongated (1.15 Å) C–H bond (cf. the normal C–H bond of 1.09 Å in CH₄) and a weak Pt–H interaction (Pt–H = 1.85 Å compared to the value Pt–H = 1.56 Å in a metal hydride).

The transition state for **B** → **C** is **TS1**, with a barrier of ΔE_{solv} = 26.5 kcal/mol. **TS1** is an associative transition state, with two long bonds: Pt–Cl (3.18 Å) and Pt–CH₄ (3.39 Å) (cf. normal PtCl bond distance of 2.17 Å), suggesting that Cl⁻ leaves

as CH₄ approaches. Of interest here is how the two HPzm⁺ rearrange during the CH₄ association process. They start symmetrically distributed, with each HPzm⁺ coordinating to a different pair of Cl's. In **TS1**, the HPzm⁺s each coordinate to one cis Pt–Cl (*r*_{H–Cl} = 1.95 Å), while both coordinate to the leaving Cl⁻ (*r*_{H–Cl} = 1.94 Å). In **C**, both HPzm⁺ have moved to the side opposite the CH₄, coordinating only to the trans Pt–Cl (*r*_{H–Cl} = 2.25 Å) and the dissociated Cl (*r*_{H–Cl} = 1.92 Å). Thus, the stabilizing cations shuttle the Cl⁻ from the first coordination sphere to the second.

We also tested whether the first step can occur via a stepwise dissociative mechanism, i.e., forming a T-complex according to [PtCl₄][HPzm]₂ → [PtCl₃][HPzm] + [HPzm][Cl] prior to methane coordination.² However, the reaction energy for this process is 35.4 kcal/mol, ruling out the T-complex pathway. (This should be contrasted to the low-energy T-complex described by Ziegler et al. in their report on aqueous Shilov CH activation.⁸ However, Ziegler et al. use an implicit solvation model, which we believe is not suitable to directly describe a vacant site on a metal.)

The second step involves cleaving one of the CH bonds in **C**, to form the Pt–CH₃ and Pt–H bonds in **D**, via **TS2** (see Figure 2). **TS2** looks like a traditional oxidative addition (OA) transition state, where the C–H bond breaks as the new Pt–C and Pt–H bonds form. Interestingly, the D–Pt distance increases to 3.59 Å in **TS2** and 3.80 Å in **D**, because the d_{z²} orbital is no longer accessible for hydrogen bonding to the DCl.

The formation of both Pt–CH₃ and Pt–H bonds with normal bond lengths, *R*_{Pt–CH₃} = 2.06 Å and *R*_{Pt–H} = 1.57 Å, suggests that the C–H cleavage step is an OA. However, there is little change in electronic character on the Pt. The Mulliken charge on the Pt goes from –0.91 e⁻ in **C** to –0.93 e⁻ in **TS2** to –0.70 e⁻ in **D**, while the population of the 5d orbitals changes from 8.96 e⁻ to 8.82 e⁻ to 8.74 e⁻ and the 5s population changes from 1.07 e⁻ to 1.14 e⁻ to 1.09 e⁻. Thus, we would describe the electronic state on the Pt as s¹d⁹ throughout the reaction. This is different from the Low and Goddard results on OA of CH₄ by Pt(PH₃)₂, where they found that the Pt changes from a d¹⁰ electronic configuration to an s¹d⁹ configuration with a covalent Pt–H bond (1.50 Å) and a covalent Pt–CH₃ bond (2.06

(8) Zhu, H. J.; Ziegler, T. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691*, 4486.

(9) Kua, J.; Xu, X.; Periana, R. A.; Goddard, W. A. *Organomet.* **2002**, *21*, 511.

Å).¹² The wave functions for **B**, **C**, and **D** suggest that Pt makes partially covalent bonds to the two Cl out of the H–Pt–CH₃ plane. Thus, the “oxidation addition” might be viewed as a change of two partially ionic covalent PtCl bonds to one Pt–H and one Pt–CH₃ covalent bond.

We could not find a transition state from **C** for an electrophilic substitution (ES). All attempts to do so collapsed to **C** or **D**. However, the product of such an ES mechanism would be the [PtCl₃(CH₃)](HPzm)₂ complex, which we calculate to have an energy of 25.1 kcal/mol. Thus, we do not consider the ES transition state to be energetically competitive.

The last step of the mechanism for H/D exchange involves the replacement of the H with the D from DCl, leading to the deuterated intermediate **D'**. (Going further backward through **TS2'** to **C'** would lead to coordinated CH₃D, and proceeding through **TS1'** to **B'** would lead to the exchanged CH₃D product in solution.)

We here found a concerted mechanism in which the DCl trans to the Pt–H bond in **D** coordinates to the Pt, leading to a linear Cl–D–Pt–H–Cl complex, **E** (see Figure 2), with both DCl and HCl equidistant from the Pt ($R_{\text{Pt–H/D}} = 2.25$ Å). The energy of this system is 16.1 kcal/mol, and the QM calculation suggests that **E** should be described as a Pt^{III} complex with two solvent protons strongly hydrogen bonding to the d_{z²} orbital.

We could not find a transition state for the conversion of **D** to **E**; scanning the Pt–H and Pt–D distances in either direction lead to only monotonous increases in energy. However, we did notice that the positions of the HPzm⁺ in **D** and **E** are quite different. In **D**, both HPzm⁺s coordinate to the Cl[–], which stabilizes the negative charge. In **E**, the HPzm⁺s are symmetrically distributed, with one HPzm⁺ coordinating to each HCl and DCl. We could not find a transition state for the movement of the HPzm⁺ from one side of the complex to the other, (i.e., going from **D** to **E** to **D'**), but on the basis of numerous energy scans we conclude that this barrier for **E** to **D** is less than 5 kcal/mol. This would put the transition state connecting **D** and **E** at <20.9, below **TS2** at 21.1 kcal/mol. Moreover, under the experimental conditions of ~6 equiv of ionic liquid, it is possible that there may be a third HPzm⁺ available for an associative ligand rearrangement to decrease this barrier.

The barrier from **C'** to **TS1'** to **B'** is 10.6 kcal/mol, whereas the barrier from **C'** to **TS2'** to **D'** to **E'** is 5.3 kcal/mol. Thus, the system may make multiple traversals of **C** to **E** and back to **C** before the **C** to **B** transition would put the methane product back into solution. Since the deuterated solvent can exchange with the HCl in **C'** on each traversal, the subsequent path from **C'** to **TS2'** to **D'** to **E'** and back to **D''** to **TS2''** to **C''** would lead to CH₂D₂. Subsequent cycles would lead to CHD₃ and then CD₄ products, and it is thus expected that any deuterated CH₄ should be multiply deuterated. Exactly this extensive exchange is observed experimentally in the IL⁶ and PC² systems. This lower barrier for rapid exchange to form highly deuterated methane was also found in previous computations for the PC^{9,10} and Shilov systems (PtCl₄^{2–}).⁸

An alternative to this concerted exchange mechanism is a stepwise process in which a free Cl[–] abstracts the proton in **D** to form the HCl product, leaving [Pt^{II}(Cl)₃(CH₃)]^{2–} coordinated to the two IL ligands. Replacing the HCl with DCl is isoenergetic, and reversing the mechanism would then give **D'**.




Figure 3. Modes considered for the Pzm to participate in the inner coordination sphere of the catalytic center. Top row omits the spectators HPzm⁺ and Cl[–]. Bottom row omits the spectators HPzm⁺ and HCl. Total charge of all systems including spectators is 0. All energies are solvated ΔE (kcal/mol). *Pzm dissociated from metal.

However, we find that the energy for the process is 25.1 kcal/mol, much higher than for the concerted pathway.

We also tested the possibility of the HPzm⁺ participating in the inner coordination sphere of the catalytic center. We found that coordinating an HPzm⁺ in an η^2 fashion after a Cl[–] ligand exchange is prohibitively uphill, with a lowest energy intermediate at $\Delta E_{\text{solv}} = 28.0$ kcal/mol. (Minimization of the N,N coordination isomer led to complete ligand dissociation, most likely due to a lack of back-bonding.) However, coordination of HPzm⁺ followed by H abstraction by Cl[–] to generate σ -bonded Pzm ligands (see Figure 3) led to more feasible ΔE_{solv} : 5.2, 8.9, and 12.7 kcal/mol for the three possible coordination modes. However, we found that the energy of the subsequent CH activation step is prohibitively large, with the lowest energy intermediate {[Pt(Pzm)PtCl₂CH₃H]}(HPzm), analogous to **D** having a $\Delta E_{\text{solv}} = 27.2$ kcal/mol. Since this intermediate is higher in energy than the maximum barrier for the [PtCl₄](HPzm)₂-catalyzed reaction, we did not explore further mechanisms involving Pzm's in the inner coordination sphere. However, it is possible that intermediates such as those featured in Figure 3 play a role in the first step of oxidizing the ring of these ionic liquids, responsible for the long-term deactivation observed for many of these IL systems.

The IL system described here differs from the PC system in several ways: First, the overall barrier (26.5 kcal/mol) is considerably lower. Similar calculations for PC lead to 39.2 kcal for the initial catalyst, Pt(bpym)Cl₂, and 33.1 for the active catalyst, Pt(bpym)Cl(HSO₄).⁹ Second, the CH activation step, **TS2**, proceeds via an OA-like mechanism rather than ES. This barrier of 21.1 kcal/mol is considerably lower than the barrier of 32.4 kcal/mol for PC [Pt(bpym)Cl(HSO₄)]. Third, the PC system is poisoned by water through a ground-state inhibition,³ which is not the case here.

In contrast, our mechanism for CH activation is very similar to mechanisms assumed for the PtCl₄^{2–} Shilov system.⁸ Indeed, for the sequence **B** → **D** our calculated reaction energetics are all within 4 kcal/mol of the analogous Shilov steps calculated by Ziegler et al.⁸ (ref 8 did not investigate past **D**). Indeed, the

(10) Paul, A.; Musgrave, C. B. *Organomet.* **2007**, *26*, 793.

(11) Gilbert, T. M.; Hristov, I.; Ziegler, T. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 1183.

(12) Low, J. J.; Goddard, W. A., III *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 6928.

remaining differences may be due to differences in computational methodology.

We do find two significant differences from the reported Shilov chemistry,⁸ however: (1) We observe a strong interaction between the dissociated Cl⁻ and the hydride in **D** ($R_{\text{H-Cl}} = 2.07 \text{ \AA}$), which enables the facile H/D exchange mechanism in **E**. (Calculations looking for this in the aqueous Shilov system have not been reported.) (2) We observe a surprisingly strong coordination of acidic H to the Pt^{II} d_{z²} orbital, which disappears in the C–H cleavage transition state **TS2** and returns in **E**. Our preliminary calculations on PtCl₄²⁻(aq) indicate a similar interaction, suggesting that this behavior might occur more generally for Pt^{II} centers in highly acidic media. It is thus not clear whether these observations are unique to the IL system or simply not explored for the Shilov system.

We have not yet considered the issues of oxidation and functionalization, although, most likely, this mechanism will be similar to that for the PC system (as no other oxidant is present). The exact mechanism has not been determined for either the PC or the Shilov systems, and investigations of this are forthcoming. A likely starting point for the IL system is **D**, where we will consider how the oxidant displaces one of the solvent molecules to oxidize the Pt^{II}–CH₃ to Pt^{IV}–CH₃, followed by functionalization.

Summary

We used QM calculations to derive the mechanism for PtCl₂/IL-catalyzed activation of methane in an acidic, oxidizing medium (H₂SO₄). These results suggest that the role of the IL is to enable solvation of the PtCl₂ catalyst, through the formation of a [PtCl₄][HPzm]₂ tight ion pair and to continue this stabilization as the CH₄ migrates associatively into the first solvation shell and is activated to form the MC bond.

Thus, throughout the reaction, the IL functions much like the highly charge-stabilizing water solvent in the traditional Shilov system. Consequently, it appears that the function of the IL is to migrate the Shilov C–H activation process from water into the oxidizing media characteristic of the Periana-Catalytica system. This ionic liquid system provides an exciting new alternative to the field of C–H *functionalization*, and it may

prove useful for enabling coupling of other previously incompatible chemistries.

Computational Details

All QM calculations used the B3LYP type of hybrid density functional theory (DFT) [the Becke three-parameter functional¹³ (B3) with the correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr¹⁴ (LYP)] as implemented in the Jaguar 6.5 program package.¹⁵ B3LYP is known to produce good descriptions of reaction profiles for transition metal containing compounds.^{16,17} We used the lacv3p**++ basis sets for all calculations.

All geometries were optimized in the gas phase. Transition states and intermediates were verified by calculating the analytic Hessian to validate a single negative eigenvalue or all positive eigenvalues, respectively. Transition states were also evaluated using IRC calculations. Solvent effects were evaluated using the Poisson–Boltzmann (PBF) continuum approximation (with $\epsilon = 99.0$ and $R_{\text{sol}} = 2.205 \text{ \AA}$ for sulfuric acid) as implemented in Jaguar v6.5.^{18,19} The solvation energies were calculated at the B3LYP/lacv3p** level using the geometries from the gas-phase optimizations.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Yongchun Tang and J. H. Cheng for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the DOE (DE-PS36-03GO93015, William Prymak). In addition, the computational resources were provided by ARO-DURIP and ONR-DURIP.

Supporting Information Available: Energies, solvation energies, xyz coordinates. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OM800274F

(13) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648.

(14) Lee, C. T.; Yang, W. T.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785.

(15) *Jaguar, v.6.5*; Schrodinger, LLC: New York, NY, 2005.

(16) Baker, J. M.; Andzelm, M. J.; Scheiner, A. *ACS Symposium Series 629*; Laird, B. B., Ross, R. B., Ziegler, T., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1996.

(17) Niu, S. Q.; Hall, M. B. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 353.

(18) Marten, B.; Kim, K.; Cortis, C.; Friesner, R. A.; Murphy, R. B.; Ringnalda, M. N.; Sitkoff, D.; Honig, B. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 11775.

(19) Tannor, D. J.; Marten, B.; Murphy, R.; Friesner, R. A.; Sitkoff, D.; Nicholls, A.; Ringnalda, M.; Goddard, W. A.; Honig, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11875.